

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/074,404	02/12/2002	Rudolf Gartner	22750/525	7026
	7590 03/01/2007 FNYON LLP	EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY			JUSKA, CHERYL ANN	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			1771	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	03/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	3
1	7
١	

## Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/074,404	GARTNER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Cheryl Juska	1771		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief						
Bolore the I ling of all Appear Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Cheryl Juska	1771				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 07 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.				
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff stice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in one ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mu	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee ce action: or (2) as			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of			
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to within the time period set forth in 3	avoid dismissal of th	e appeal. Since			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>	·	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	ecause			
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);				
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)</li> <li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in begoing</li> </ul>		ducina or cimplifying t	the incurse for			
appeal; and/or	tter form for appear by materially re-	ducing or simplifying i	ine issues ioi			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.				
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1						
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (	PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	;					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendme	nt canceling the			
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☑ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wil vided below or appended.	l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>11,12,14,</u> 16,18 and 20-33.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
B.   The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good anwas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and			
9.  The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
<ol><li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation</li></ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
See Continuation Sheet.		Condition for allowall	ioc pecause.			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
13.						
		Ohamil List				
		Cheryl Juska Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1771				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: While the proposed amendment would be sufficient to overcome the 112, 1st rejection, it raises the issue of lack of antecedent basis (i.e., 112, 2nd rejection) for the phrase "needling stages."

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: It is based upon a non-entered amendment. Note the proposed amendments to claims 22, 24, 27, and 31 would be sufficient, upon entry, to overcome the objection to said claims as set forth in section 3 of the last Office Action.